# Case 3637

Papilio phoebus De Prunner, 1798: proposed conservation in its accustomed usage by suppression of Papilio phoebus Fabricius, 1793 (Insecta, Lepidoptera, Papilionidae)

## Emilio Balletto

Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, Via Accademia Albertina 13 – I-10123 Torino, Italy (e-mail: emilio.balletto@unito.it)

## Simona Bonelli

Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, Via Accademia Albertina 13 – I-10123 Torino, Italy (e-mail: simona.bonelli@unito.it)

**Abstract.** The purpose of this application, under Articles 78.1 and 81.2.1 of the Code, is to conserve a name that has been used mistakenly for a long time for a very well-known Holarctic butterfly species, by suppressing *Papilio phoebus* Fabricius, 1793 and thereby freeing for use *Papilio phoebus* de Prunner, 1798, a junior primary homonym that actually refers to the taxonomic species in question. This course, effectively resulting only in a change in authorship, would make additional name changes unnecessary and thus promote nomenclatural stability.

**Keywords.** Nomenclature; taxonomy; papilionidae; parnassinae; *Parnassius*; *P. phoebus*; *P. ariadne*; *P. corybas*; European 'Small Apollo' butterfly; Holarctic.

- 1. The European 'Small Apollo' butterfly was long known as Parnassius delius (originally *Papilio delius* Esper, [1804], p. 114; pl. 115, fig. 5), a taxon described from the Alps, 'in der Nähe von Genev' [in the vicinity of Geneva] (see Staudinger, 1861, p. 14; 1871, p. 2). Godart ([1819], p. 80) was the first to suggest that Papilio phoebus (Fabricius, 1793, p. 181), described from 'Sibiria', [sic] and P. delius Esper were conspecific. Kirby (1871, p. 511) also thought so, with the result that Esper's name was used for a time to identify the European 'subspecies', as Parnassius phoebus delius (Esper, [1804]) (see Butler, 1870, p. 233; Kirby, 1871, p. 511). Papilio delius Esper, [1804], however, is a junior primary homonym of *Papilio delius* Drury, [1782] (vol. 3, p. [77] (name in index) and p. 18 (description), pl. 14, figs. 5, 6; currently Antanartia delius). The date of publication of Esper's book was established by Heppner (1981, 1982), while that of Drury's was fixed by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature in Opinion 474 (Opinions and Declarations 16: 297–306; July 1957). The homonymy between Esper's and Drury's names was soon resolved by Stichel (1906, p. 86), who proposed the name Parnassius phoebus sacerdos to replace Papilio delius Esper, [1804].
- 2. The name *Papilio phoebus* Fabricius, 1793 itself has, until recently, been applied to a wrong species. As Hanus & Theye (2010) correctly observed, Fabricius made unequivocal reference to the watercolours painted by William Jones (i.e. 'Papilio

Phoebus Jon. fig. pict. 2. tab. 2. fig. 2'). Under Article 72.5.6 of the Code, these pictures are deemed to be representations of the dorsal and ventral surfaces of the holotype (or two syntypes?) of P. phoebus, which Fabricius said was preserved, at the time, in the 'Mus.[eum] Dom.[ini] Drury'. Drury apparently never figured this specimen independently and his collection has been lost. No other possibly original Fabricius's specimen is extant in either the Natural History Museum in London or the Natural History Museum of Denmark (see Zimsen, 1964, p. 560, and Kristensen & Karsholt, 2008). A neotype was designated by Hanus & Theye (2011). It is worth noting that, although the watercolours comprising Jones' 'Icones' were apparently painted between ca. 1780 and ca. 1790 (see Vane-Wright, 2010), i.e. at a time antedating Fabricius's description of his Papilio phoebus, they were not then printed or published. As a result, the apparently uninominal name 'Phaebus' [sic!] attributed to Fabricius on the plate has no status in nomenclature (Articles 8.1, 8.4, and 9.12), and the description given on the plate ('Alis rotundatis integerrimis concoloribus albis nigro maculatis: posticis maculis tribus rufis') may be a later addition copied verbatim from Fabricius's (1793) description of *Papilio phoebus*. When Jones's watercolours where inspected by Hanus & Theye (2010), it became apparent that they did not depict the species generally known as Parnassius phoebus, but instead represented a specimen of what is commonly known as Parnassius ariadne Lederer, 1853 (p. 354), a species inhabiting the southwestern foothills of the Altai Mountains Hemming (1934, p. 198) reviewed the nomenclatural history of this latter taxon.

3. Most authors have overlooked the fact that the name *Papilio phoebus* was independently published twice, the first time by Fabricius in 1793 as recounted above, and later on by de Prunner (1798, p. 69), in a book dealing with the Lepidoptera of the South Western Alps and the surroundings of Nice, in which this author provided a detailed (for the times) description. Esper (1800, p. 102, footnote) did notice the homonymy but he regarded de Prunner's *phoebus* as merely a variety ('Abänderung') of *P. apollo* Linnaeus, 1758.

We reproduce here for clarity de Prunner's original description, together with an English translation provided to clarify a couple of peculiarities inherent to this author's Latin.

'E.[ques] H.[eliconius] Pap.[ilio] Phoebus

Antennis albe, nigre catenatis; alis oblongis integerrime flave-albis: primoribus intus extusque ocellis coccineis nigro circulo circumdatis, ac prope corpus quatuor, duobus simillibus solitariis longitudine alarum; posterioribus intus extusque nigris transversis maculis, extus vermiculato ocello prope marginem extoriorem.

In fine Varaitanae vallis non tam rarus: invenitur in monte Verz mense Junii.' i.e.

'Antennae white-and-black ringed; wings elongate, completely yellowish-white; the first (i.e. the hind wings) inside and outside with scarlet ocelli, [each] surrounded by a black ring, and near the body four [ocelli], two [of which] similar to isolated [ocelli] for the whole length of the [wing] basis; the second (i.e. the fore wings) inside and outside with transverse black spots, outside with a vermillion eye-spot by the outer margin.

At the end of the Varaita Valley, not very rare: it is found on Mount Verz in the month of June.' (Translation by S. Cecchin).

It should be noted that de Prunner's description was published as part of an appendix to 'Sectio Prima, Papiliones' of his work, which appendix included 30 species not listed in the main text. Eight of them were clearly attributed to previous authors, while the remaining 22, including Pap. phoebus, bore no such attribution. This does not unequivocally prove that the latter were meant to represent new species group names, but most of them have since been treated as such in the following literature, where they have been regarded as either junior synonyms of other names, junior primary homonyms for which replacement names have been created, or valid species or subspecies. Pap. phoebus represents the only exception; even though it shares all the characteristics of de Prunner's other new species group names, it was rarely recognised as such. Another reason may be that the taxon it represents is indeed very close to, and has been considered conspecific with, Fabricius's Papilio phoebus. It is to be remembered, however, that in ancient Greek (and later Latin) mythology, Phoebus was one of the alternative appellations of Apollo, so that it may have seemed logical to more than one author that a species rather recalling P. apollo in its external habit should be named P. phoebus. In other words it is possible that the two taxa bear the same name by mere coincidence.

- 4. Evidence of de Prunner's taxon being regarded as distinct can be traced as follows. Hübner, [1804] (pl. 110, figs. 567, 568, no text) depicted as [Papilio] phoebus specimens obviously belonging to the European taxon, as is shown by their clearly annulated antennae and the basal red spots on the ventral surface of the hind wings. Godart (1819, p. 80) was apparently the first to observe that Fabricius's Pap. phoebus from Siberia was probably a different species than that depicted by Hübner. He attributed [Pap.] phoebus to Hübner (as first figuring author) and (irrespective of its earlier publication date) listed de Prunner's Pap. phoebus among its synonyms, together with Pap. delius. Later, Kirby (1871, p. 511, perhaps following Esper) dubiously listed Pap. phoebus de Prunner in the synonymy of Pap. apollo, therefore not under Pap. phoebus Fabricius, but he included Pap. delius in the synonymy of the latter. Sherborn (1902, p. 744) separately listed 'phoebus Papilio, J.C. Fabricius, Ent. Syst., III (1) 1793, 181' and 'phoebus Papilio, L. Prunner, Lep. Pedemont. 1798, 69'. Among de Prunner's (1798) names for other new species of *Papilio*, Sherborn (1902) included all the new names apart from Papilio polidamas de Prunner, 1798; Pap. glandon de Prunner, 1798; Pap. pluto de Prunner, 1798; Pap. xylostei (also spelled 'xilostei') de Prunner, 1798 and Pap. medon de Prunner, 1798; while also including some misspellings and misquotations. Sherborn's authority, together with the foregoing, supports our interpretation of de Prunner's name Papilio phoebus as having been published independently of Fabricius's Pap. phoebus.
- 5. Among the several available species-group names proposed to identify Asiatic species of the *Parnassius phoebus* complex, the second most senior after Fabricius's is *Parnassius corybas* Fischer de Waldheim, 1823 (pl. 6, figs. 1, 2), described from Kamchatka [the plates were issued in 1823, the text after November 1824 see Sherborn (1922)]. It is likely that *P. phoebus* var. *intermedia* [Ménétriés] in Siemaschko (1850, caption to pl. 4, fig. 1) is synonymous with *P. phoebus phoebus*, part of the material being topotypic, having been collected in the Altai according to Ménétriés's (1855, p. 72) detailed description of the former, now raised to full species rank (see also Nekrutenko & Kerzhner, 1986). Hemming's (1934, p. 198) analysis of the 1850 publication was mistaken. Most recently, Hanus & Theye (2010) considered

Parnassius phoebus intermedius [Ménétriés], 1850 a junior synonym of P. phoebus corybas Fischer de Waldheim, 1823.

- 7. As a consequence of the circumstances described in paras. 2 and 4, and as already discussed in depth by Hanus & Theye (2011, 2013), under the Code the widespread species traditionally known as *Parnassius phoebus* must be renamed as *P*. corybas Fischer de Waldheim, 1823, while the Altai species traditionally known as Parnassius ariadne should now be called P. phoebus. The likelihood of taxonomic confusion is actually much greater, because P. phoebus as traditionally conceived is considered to include at least one subspecies in Europe, a minimum of around eight in Asia (Siberia) and at least two in North America, not to mention the 42 subspecies recognized by Eisner (1976). The names used to identify all these taxa would have to switch to as many new combinations, under P. corybas. All this confusion can be avoided by suppressing *Papilio phoebus* Fabricius, 1793, thus (1) allowing *Parnassius* ariadne (Lederer, 1853) to continue in use for the Altai species, and (2) raising Papilio phoebus de Prunner, 1798 from permanent invalidity, thereby making it available for the species of Parnassius traditionally referred to by this name. In effect, only the authorship of Parnassius phoebus will change, not the generally accepted application of the name.
- 8. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:
  - (1) to use its plenary power to suppress the specific name *phoebus* Fabricius, 1793, as published in the binomen *Papilio phoebus*, for the purposes of both the Principle of Priority and the Principle of Homonymy;
  - (2) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the name *phoebus* de Prunner, 1798, as published in the binomen *Papilio phoebus*;
  - (3) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology the name *phoebus* Fabricius, 1793, as published in the binomen *Papilio phoebus* and as suppressed in (1) above.

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Prof. Sergio Cecchin (*Turin University*, *Italy*) for his invaluable help in fully checking de Prunner's Latin description of *Papilio phoebus*; Dr. Gian-C. Bozano (*Milano*) as well as Prof. Tommaso Racheli (and his son Luigi) for having provided us with some very rare and important publications; Prof. Paolo Parenzan (*Palermo University*) for having very generously allowed us to have open access to his huge entomological library and vast knowledge of the international lepidopterological literature, as well as the Librarians of the Department of Animal Biology of Turin University and of the Museo regionale di Scienze naturali (*Torino*), for their invaluable help in finding the very large amount of entomological literature necessary for the completion of the nomenclatural part this work. We particularly wish to thank Prof. Alessandro Minelli (*Padua University*) for his constructive criticism on a previous draft of this manuscript.

### References

Bryk, F. 1935. Lepidoptera, Parnassiidae. Pars II (Subfam. Parnassiinae). *In: Das Tierreich*, 65. LI, 790 pp. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin & Leipzig.

- Bryk, F. & Eisner, C. 1934. Kritische Revision der Gattung *Parnassius* unter Benutzung des Materials der Kollection Eisner, Dahlem. *Parnassiana*, 3(4/5): 47–62.
- **Butler, A.G.** 1870. Catalogue of diurnal Lepidoptera described by Fabricius in the collection of the British Museum. v, 303 pp., 3 pls. BMNH, London.
- de Prunner, L. 1798. Lepidoptera Pedemontana Illustrata. iii, 124 pp. Mathaeus Guaita, Augusta Taurinorum.
- **Drury, D.** [1783]. *Illustrations of natural history*, vol. 3. xxvi, 76, [ii] pp, 50 pls. Printed for the Author, London.
- Eisner, C. 1955. Parnassiana nova. 4. Kritische Revision der Gattung *Parnassius* (Fortsetzung 2). *Zoologische Mededelingen*, 33(17): 127–156, pl. 23.
- Eisner, C. 1976. Parnassiana nova. 49. Die Arten und Unterarten der Parnassiidae (Lepidoptera) (Zweiter Teil). Zoologische Verhandelingen, 148: 99–266, 2 pls.
- Esper, E.J.C. 1776-[1830]. Die Schmetterlinge in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Beschreibungen (5 Theile). Theil I. Die Tagschmetterlinge. 2 Bände & Suppl.: Theil I. Abschnitt I. [1803–04]. 105–120 pp., 113–116, pls. Erlangen, Walther.
- Fabricius, J.C. 1793. Entomologia systematica, vol. 3(1). [6], 487 pp. Hafniae.
- Fischer de Waldheim, [J.]G. 1823-[1824]. Entomographie de la Russie, vol. 2. xx, 264 pp., 39 pls. Lepidoptera, 11 pls. Société Impériale des Naturalistes. Auguste Semen, Moscou.
- Godart, [J.-B], 1819-[1824]. Lépidoptères in: Latreille Encyclopédie méthodique. Tomologie ou histoire naturelle des crustacés des arachnides et des insectes. vol. 9. 828 pp. Agasse, Paris.
- Hanus, J. & Theye, M.-L. 2010. Parnassius phoebus (Fabricius, 1793), a misidentified species (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). Nachrichten der Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, NF, 31(1/2): 71–84.
- Hanus, J. & Theye, M.-L. 2011. Supplements to "Parnassius phoebus (Fabricius, 1793), a misidentified species" (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae), Nachrichten der Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, NF, 32(1/2): 25–27.
- Hanus, J. & Theye, M.-L. 2012 [2013]. Les premiers *Parnassius*: histoire de leur découverte de leur description et de l'erreur d'identification de *Parnassius phoebus* (Fabricius, 1793). *Alexanor*, **25**(6): 323–354.
- Häuser, C.L. 1993. An annotated checklist of the species of the Parnassiinae (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *Tijdschrift voor Entomologie*, **136** (1): 137–146.
- Häuser, C.L. (in co-operation with) de Jong, R., Lamas, G., Robbins, R.K., Smith, C., Vane-Wright, R.I. 2005. Papilionidae revised GloBIS/GART species checklist (2nd draft). http://www.insects-online.de/frames/papilio.htm
- Hemming, F. 1934. Revisional notes on some species of Rhopalocera (Lepidoptera). *Stylops*, 3(9): 193–200.
- **Heppner**, **J.B.** 1981. The dates of E.I.C.Esper's 'Die Schmetterlinge in Abbildungen...' 1776-[1830]. Archives of Natural History, **10**(2): 251–254.
- **Heppner**, **J.B.** 1982. Dates of selected Lepidoptera literature for the western hemisphere fauna. *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society*, **36**(2): 91–92.
- Hübner, J. [1799–1838] Sammlung europäischer Schmetterlinge, vol. 1. 208 pls. Papiliones, Augsburg.
- Kirby, W.F. 1871. A synonymic catalogue of diurnal Lepidoptera. viii, 883 pp. van Voorst, London.
- Kristensen, N.P. & Karsholt, O. 2008. Zoological Museum Copenhagen: Fabricius Types Order Lepidoptera (author Fabricius 1793–1807). http://zoologi.snm.ku.dk/english/collections/entomology\_collections/collections/fabricius\_samling/.htm. Accessed April 1, 2014.
- Lederer, J. 1853. Lepidopterologisches aus Sibirien. Verhandlungen des Zoologisch-botanischen Vereins in Wien, 3(3): 351–386, 4 Pls.
- Ménétriés, E.P. 1855. Descriptions des nouvelles espèces de Lépidoptères diurnes de la collection de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences. IIIme et dernière partie. Pp. 69–97 in: Enumeratio corporum Animalium Musei: Classis insectorum, ordo Lepidopterorum. Catalogue de la collection entomologique de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Petersburg. St Petersburg.

Nekrutenko, Y.P. & Kerzhner, I.M. 1986. On the species and varieties of *Parnassius* (Lepidoptera Papilionidae) established by E. Ménétriés in the book by J. Siemaschko «Russkaya Fauna» [in Russian]. *Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie*, **65**(4): 769–779.

Pelham, J.P. 2013. A Catalogue of the Butterflies of the United States and Canada. Available

on line at: http://butterfliesofamerica.com/US-Can-Cat.htm

Sherborn, C.D. 1902. Index animalium. Sectio prima, a Kalendis Januariis MDLVIII ad finem Decembris MDCCC. i-lvii, 1–1195 pp. Cantabrigiae, e typographio acadmico.

Siemaschko, Yu. 1850. Russkaya Fauna. vol. 6, 4 pp., 4 pls. Vnigedera, St. Petersburg,

Staudinger, O. 1861. Macrolepidoptera. In: O. Staudinger & M. Wocke, Catalogue der Lepidopteren Europa's und der angrenzenden Länder. xvi, 192 pp. O. Staudinger, Dresden.

Staudinger, O. 1871. Macrolepidoptera. In: O. Staudinger & M. Wocke, Catalogue der Lepidopteren der europæischen Faunengebiets. Xxxviii, 426 pp. O. Staudinger, Dresden.

Stichel, H. 1906. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Lepidopteren-Gattung *Parnassius* Latr. *Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift*, **51**: 81–94, Pl. 2. [published in late September 1906].

Vane-Wright, R.I. 2010. William Jones of Chelsea (1745–1818) and the need for a digital, online 'Icones'. *Antenna*, 34(1): 16–21.

Zimsen, E. 1964. The type material of I.C. Fabricius. 656 pp. Munksgaard, Copenhagen.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 70: 152.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to the Executive Secretary, I.C.Z.N., c/o Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).